UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA MIAMI DIVISION

CASE NO.: 22-cv-22538-ALTMAN/REID

DOMINIK KARNAS, et al., on behalf of himself and others similarly situated,
Plaintiffs,
V.
MARK CUBAN, et al.,
Defendants.

PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF FILING SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF [ECF NO. 195] PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' OMNIBUS MOTION [ECF NO. 189] TO DISMISS THE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND INCORPORATED MEMORANDUM OF LAW

Plaintiffs submit, as supplemental authority in support of their Response in Opposition [ECF No. 195] to Defendants' Omnibus Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended Complaint [ECF No. 189], and in follow up on Plaintiffs' Notices of Filing Supplemental Authority filed in this action on March 14, 2024, [ECF No. 230], March 21, 2024 [ECF No. 242], March 27, 2024 [ECF No. 249], and May 29, 2024 [ECF No. 299] the recent orders by the Honorable Federico A. Moreno of the Southern District of Florida in Harper v. O'Neal, 23-cv-21912-FAM: (1) granting in part and denying in part defendants' motion to dismiss plaintiffs' amended complaint, ECF No. 91 (S.D. Fla. Aug. 16, 2024) ("Motion to Dismiss Order"); and (2) denying defendants' motion to strike plaintiffs' class allegations, ECF No. 94 (S.D. Fla. Aug. 16, 2024) ("Motion to Strike Order").

In *Harper v. O'Neal*, the plaintiffs filed a class action suit against Shaquille O'Neal, Astrals LLC, Astrals Holding, LLC, and Astrals Operations LLC for their promotion, offer, and sale of unregistered securities in the form of Astrals NFTs and Galaxy tokens created by the Astrals project in violation of Sections 5, 12(a)(1), and 15 of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and 77o. *See* Motion to Dismiss Order at 1, 5.

First, in the Motion to Dismiss Order, Judge Moreno denied defendants' motion to dismiss claims that (1) the crypto assets at issue constituted securities under the *Honey* test and applicable

CASE NO.: 22-cv-22538-ALTMAN/REID

securities law; and (2) Defendant O'Neal was a statutory "seller" engaged in the solicitation of sales of those unregistered securities. *Id.* at 4, 16.

Second, in the Motion to Strike Order, Judge Moreno denied the motion to strike plaintiffs' class allegations as premature, "as potential barriers may fall away or at least become more manageable after discovery," in favor of deciding class certification when the motion is filed and fully briefed. *See* Motion to Strike Order at 1–2.

Dated: August 16, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Adam M. Moskowitz

Adam M. Moskowitz Florida Bar No. 984280 adam@moskowitz-law.com Joseph M. Kaye Florida Bar No. 117520 joseph@moskowitz-law.com Barbara C. Lewis barbara@moskowitz-law.com Florida Bar No. 118114

THE MOSKOWITZ LAW FIRM, PLLC

Continental Plaza 3250 Mary Street, Suite 202 Coconut Grove, FL 33133 Telephone: (305) 740-1423

Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class

Jose M. Ferrer
Florida Bar No. 173746
Desiree Fernandez
Florida Bar No. 119518
MARK MIGDAL HAYDEN LLP
8 SW 8th Street, Suite 1999
Miami, FL 33130
Office: 305-374-0440
jose@markmigdal.com
desiree@markmigdal.com

By: /s/ David Boies

David Boies (admitted *pro hac vice*) Alexander Boies (admitted *pro hac vice*) Brooke Alexander (admitted *pro hac vice*)

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP

333 Main Street Armonk, NY 10504 Phone: (914) 749–8200 dboies@bsfllp.com aboies@bsfllp.com balexander@bsfllp.com

Stephen Neal Zack Florida Bar No. 145215

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP

100 SE 2nd St., Suite 2800 Miami, FL 33131 Office: 305-539-8400 Fax: 305-539-1307 szack@bsfllp.com

Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class

Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the forgoing was filed on August 16, 2024, via the Court's CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all attorneys of record.

Case 1:22-cv-22538-RKA Document 305 Entered on FLSD Docket 08/16/2024 Page 3 of 3

CASE NO.: 22-cv-22538-ALTMAN/REID

By: <u>/s/ Adam M. Moskowitz</u>
ADAM M. MOSKOWITZ
Florida Bar No. 984280